

2003

## The Casco Bay Interlocal Stormwater Working Group: A Case Study in Regionalism

Katherine Early

*Cumberland County Soil and Water Conservation District, City of Portland*

Jeff Edelstein

*Edelstein Associates*

Brenda Zollitsch

*Casco Bay Estuary Partnership*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usm.maine.edu/cbep-presentations>

---

### Recommended Citation

Early, K., Edelstein, J., & Zollitsch, B.M.(2003). The Casco Bay Interlocal Stormwater Working Group: A Case Study in Regionalism. [Presentation slides]. Portland, ME: University of Southern Maine, Muskie School of Public Service, Casco Bay Estuary Partnership.

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Casco Bay Estuary Partnership (CBEP) at USM Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of USM Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [jessica.c.hovey@maine.edu](mailto:jessica.c.hovey@maine.edu).

# **The Casco Bay Interlocal Stormwater Working Group:**

## **A Case Study in Regionalism**

**Katherine Earley, P.E.** – City of Portland, Public Works

**Jeff Edelstein, P.E.** – Edelstein Associates/Cumberland  
County Soil and Water Conservation District

**Brenda Zollitsch** – Ph.D Graduate Assistant/Casco Bay  
Estuary Project

# **Supporter Acknowledgement**

Environmental Protection Agency Region 1

Cumberland County Commissioners

## **Supporter Acknowledgement** **(Continued)**

Casco Bay Estuary Project (CBEP)

Cumberland County Soil and Water  
Conservation District (CCSWCD)

Cumberland County Emergency  
Management Agency (CCEMA)

Local Municipalities




**Supporter Acknowledgement**  
**(Continued)**

Maine Department of Environmental  
Protection

State Planning Office/Coastal Programs

Portland Area Comprehensive  
Transportation System (PACTS)

# “How We Got Along”



## **Agenda**

- Guiding Principles
- History of Interlocal Group
- Challenges Ahead
- Research Findings
- Lessons Learned

## Overall Views

**"Exemplary"**

**"Got the  
Job Done"**

**"This  
Worked"**

**"A Standard for the State"**

**"Definitely Worthwhile"**

**"A Model"**

**"Super"**

**"Big Bang for the  
Buck"**

## **Guiding Principles**

- Problem-based
- Neutral Help
- Funding




**“The End”...**


**...Not Really!!**



# How Did We Get There?




# Converging Processes



# History

- Phase II/Environmental Protection Agency Mandate (December 1999)



## History (Continued)

- In 2000, Three Agencies Initiatives Converged:
  - CBEP – Casco Bay Plan
  - CCEMA – Flooding
  - CCSWCD – Soil and Water Protection

# History (Continued)

- Problem-based:
  - Cross-boundary issue
  - Lot-by-lot SW management has shortcomings
  - Limited history of municipalities working together

## History (Continued)

- 2001 – Grant Funds Approved
  - Funders: EPA and Cumberland County Commissioner
  - Recipient: CBEP/CCSWCD (partner)
  - Purpose: Develop Inter-municipal Stormwater Management




## **History** **(Continued)**

- In November 2001, MeDEP Began  
Statewide Stakeholder Process to  
Develop Phase II Permits

## History (Continued)

- In January 2002, CCSWCD Began Process with 6 Communities
- Brought facilitator onto team
- Conducted One-on-One Interviews
- Began Meetings



## History (Continued)

➤ Through August 2002, Initial Group of Six  
Worked On:

- FEMA Hazard Mitigation
- National Flood Insurance  
Program/Flood Plain  
Management
- **NPDES Phase II**

## **History** **(Continued)**

- August 2002, Five Additional Casco Bay MS4's Identified By 2000 Census Data
- DEP ramps up Phase II outreach to municipalities

## **History** **(Continued)**

- **October 2002:**
  - **Eleven Casco Bay Communities**
  - **CCSWCD develops a scope of services to create a Five-Year Work Plan**
  - **Joint Letter of Agreement**

## History (Continued)

November 2002:  
Group Begins  
Five-Year Work  
Plan

Group Works  
With MeDEP  
on MS4 Permit  
Language





## **History** **(Continued)**


- Group 'Solved' Its First Problem
  - June 2003, Completed Five-Year Work Plan
  - June-September, Formal Local Approval Process
  - September 2003, Submitted Work Plans to MeDEP

# **Guiding Principles**


- Problem-based
- Neutral Help
- Funding

# Challenges Ahead

- Implementation (Variety of Needs)
- Regional Coordination
- Funding



# Implementation



# Regional Coordination

- Coordinator Job Description I

- *Accepting Resumes*

- Guiding Work Plan Actions

- Balance Needs





# Funding

## ➤ CBEP

➤ Conference

➤ Intern

➤ Grants

➤ Municipalities

➤ Governor's Office



## ➤ DEP

➤ Bond Issue

➤ Media Strategy

➤ PACTS

➤ CCEMA



## **Regional Boundary- Setting**

- Saco Bay Working Group
- Statewide Education

**“How Does This Help Me?”**

**Or**

**“So What?”**



## **Research Findings**

- Confidential Interviews Conducted with Cross-Section of Group

## **Participant Benefits**

- Cost-sharing
- Resource Sharing – Expertise, Materials, Etc.
- Group Problem Solving
- Building Relationships Beyond SWII
- Direct Group Interaction with DEP

## **Participant Benefits** **(Continued)**

- Sense of Not Being Alone With An Overwhelming Task
- Creating A Learning Process For Everyone
- A Chance For Equal Voices At the Table
- Removal of Municipal Boundaries
- Each Got Something Different Out of This



## **Group Challenges**

- Not Knowing the State Rules Initially and Trying to Respond Individually and As A Group
- Breaking Down Municipal Barriers
- Work Speed is Slower As A Group
- Different Needs From Different Communities
- Funding Breakdown and Sustainability



## **Group Challenges** **(Continued)**

- Need a Coordinator to Stay on Track As Move Forwards
- Lack of Clarity About Origins and Goals
- Whether or Not to Formalize the Organization/Process

## **Unique Factors**

- Completely New Effort, Not Changing Anything Existing Already
- Not Political Actors At the Table
- Dealing with “Mind-boggling” Regulations
- Started with Funding in Place
- Initiated by a Third Party, But Not Government

## **Unique Factors** **(Continued)**

- Started With A Facilitator in Place
- Everyone Asked Personally to Join the Group
- Willing Partners (Voluntary Participation)
- Not Yet Challenged As A Group
- Facilitator Who is Also A Leader/Coordinator

## Lessons Learned

### *“Survey Says...”*

- Base it on a Real, Not Perceived Need
- Don't Impose This on People, Has to be Voluntary
- Incentives Would Not Be A Bad Thing

## **Lessons Learned** **(Continued)**

- Start Early
- Start with Funding in Hand (and a cushion)
- Have a Facilitator At the First Meeting and Throughout
- Formalize Group Only As Necessary



## **Lessons Learned** **(Continued)**

- Get the Right People At the Table From the Start
- Invite People in Face-to-Face or By Phone
- Involve the Regulatory Agency



## **Guiding Principles**

- Problem-based
- Neutral Help
- Funding

# Questions & Answers

